

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

COLLEGENET, INC.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Nos. CV-02-484-HU (LEAD CASE)
)	CV-02-1359-HU
v.)	
)	
APPLYYOURSELF, INC.,)	VERDICT
)	
Defendant.)	

We, the duly-impaneled jury in the above-entitled case, do find as follows:

I. Infringement of the '278 Patent

Has defendant infringed any of the following claims of the '278 patent with either its Flagship or i-Class product (refer to page 11, line 22 through page 12, line 9 of the instructions in filling out this table)?

CLAIM NUMBER OF THE '278 PATENT	FLAGSHIP PRODUCT		i-CLASS PRODUCT	
	YES	NO	YES	NO
1	yes, as determined by the court		yes, as determined by the court	
2	YES		YES	
3	YES		YES	
4	YES		YES	
5	YES		YES	
6	YES		YES	
7	YES		YES	
8	YES		YES	
9	yes, as determined by the court		yes, as determined by the court	
10	yes, as determined by the court		yes, as determined by the court	
13	YES		YES	
14	YES		YES	
15	YES		YES	
16	YES		YES	
17	YES		YES	
18		NO		NO
19		NO		NO
20		NO		NO

21	yes, as determined by the court		yes, as determined by the court	
22	YES		YES	
23	YES		YES	
24	YES		YES	
26	yes		yes	
27	YES		YES	
28	YES		YES	
30	YES		YES	
31	YES		YES	

II. Infringement of the '042 Patent

Has defendant infringed any of the following claims of the '042 patent with either its Flagship or i-Class product (refer to page 11, line 22 through page 12, line 9 of the instructions in filling out this table)?

CLAIM NUMBER OF THE '042 PATENT	FLAGSHIP PRODUCT		i-CLASS PRODUCT	
	YES	NO	YES	NO
1	YES		YES	
2	YES		YES	
3	YES		YES	
4	YES		YES	
5	YES		YES	
6	YES		YES	
7	YES		YES	
8	YES		YES	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

9	yes		yes	
10	yes		yes	
11	yes		yes	
12	yes		yes	
13	yes		yes	
14	yes		yes	
15	yes		yes	
16	yes		yes	
17	yes		yes	
18	yes		yes	
19	yes		yes	
20	yes		yes	
21	yes		yes	
22	yes		yes	
23	yes		yes	
24	yes		yes	
25	yes		yes	
26	yes		yes	
27	yes		yes	
28	yes		yes	
29	yes		yes	
30	yes		yes	
31	yes		yes	
32	yes		yes	
33	yes		yes	
34	yes		yes	
35	yes		yes	
36	yes		yes	
37	yes		yes	
38	yes		yes	

39	yes		yes	
40		NO	yes	
41	yes		yes	
42	yes		yes	
43	yes		yes	
44	yes		yes	

III. Invalidity of '278 Patent

Are any of the following claims of the '278 patent invalid as having been on sale before June 4, 1997, or invalid as having been obvious at the time the invention was made in light of the prior art (refer to page 25, lines 8-18 of the instructions in filling out this table)?

CLAIM NUMBER OF THE '278 PATENT	INVALIDITY UNDER "ON-SALE" BAR		INVALIDITY AS OBVIOUS	
	YES	NO	YES	NO
1		NO		NO
2		NO		NO
3		NO		NO
4		NO		NO
5		NO		NO
6		NO		NO
7	N/A	N/A		NO
8	N/A	N/A		NO
9		NO		NO
10		NO		NO
13	N/A	N/A		NO

14	N/A	N/A	NO
15		NO	NO
16		NO	NO
17		NO	NO
18	N/A	N/A	NO
19	N/A	N/A	NO
20	N/A	N/A	NO
21		NO	NO
22		NO	NO
23	N/A	N/A	NO
24	N/A	N/A	NO
26	N/A	N/A	NO
27		NO	NO
28		NO	NO
30	N/A	N/A	NO
31		NO	NO

IV. Willfulness

If a claim of the '278 patent is valid and infringed, was defendant's infringement willful?

Yes yes No _____

V. Damages for the '278 Patent

For the '278 patent, if there is no claim that is both valid and infringed, you should not answer questions 1 and 2 below.

1. What are plaintiff's lost profit damages, if any, for infringement of any claim of the '278 patent?

\$ 136,000.00

